UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 Case No.: 3:23-cv-00207-ART-CSD SCOTT THAYER and CINDY THAYER, 4 Order Plaintiffs 5 Re: ECF No. 91 v. 6 BHUPINDER SINGH, et al., 7 Defendants 8 9 DANIEL HARDY, 10 Plaintiff 11||v.BHUPINDER SINGH, et al., 13 **Defendants** 14 15 Non-party DAT Solutions, LLC (DAT) filed a motion to quash, or alternatively to 16 modify, a deposition subpoena. (ECF No. 83.) Plaintiff Daniel Hardy filed a response. (ECF No. 17|| 86.) DAT filed a reply brief on August 7, 2025. (ECF No. 88.) 18 On August 8, 2025, Plaintiff Daniel Hardy filed a supplement to his response, including a 19 declaration of counsel. (ECF Nos. 91, 91-1.) 20 The Local Rules permit the filing of a motion, response and reply brief. Supplementation 21 is prohibited without leave of court, and the court may strike supplemental filings made without 22|| leave. LR 7-2. 23

Plaintiff Daniel Hardy did not seek leave of court before filing his supplement. Therefore, 2 the supplement (ECF Nos. 91, 91-1) is **STRICKEN**. 3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 4 Dated: August 11, 2025 United States Magistrate Judge